Best Available Copy **Application or Docket Number** PATENT APPLICATION FEE DETERMINATION RECORD Effective October 1, 2000 **CLAIMS AS FILED - PART I** SMALL ENTITY **OTHER THAN** TYPE C SMALL ENTITY (Column 1) (Column 2) OR TOTAL CLAIMS FEE RATE FEE RATE BASIC FEE 355.00 ASIC FEE 710.00 NUMBER FILED NUMBER EXTRA FOR OR TOTAL CHARGEABLE CLAIMS minus 20= X\$ 9= X\$18= OR minus 3 = INDEPENDENT CLAIMS **4**-0 X80⇒ X40= OR MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENT +270= +135= OR * If the difference in column 1 is less than zero, enter "0" in column 2 39 TOTAL TOTAL **OTHER THAN CLAIMS AS AMENDED - PART II** SMALL ENTITY SMALL ENTITY OR (Column 2) (Column 3) (Column 1) CLAIVS HCHEST ADDI-ADDI-NUMBER PRESENT AMENDMENT A REMAINING TIONAL RATE TIONAL RATE AFTER PREVIOUSLY **EXTRA** FEE FEE WENDMENT PAID FOR 20 X\$18= Total Minus =2 2X OR Independent Minus 80 X40= X80-OR FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM +270= +135= OR TOTAL TOTAL 254 OR ADDIT. FEE ADDIT, FEE (Column 2) (Column 3) (Column 1) CLAIRS HIGHEST ADDI-ADDI-0 REMAINING NUMBER PRESENT TIONAL TIONAL RATE RATE PREVIOUSLY AMENDMENT AFTER EXTRA FEE FEE PAID FOR AMENDMENT X\$18= Total Minus X\$ 9= OR Minus Independent X80-X40-OR FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM +270= +135= OR TOTAL TOTAL OR ADDIT. FEE ADDIT, FEE (Column 2) (Column 3) (Column 1)

CLAIMS 16:15 AMENDMENT C NUMBER REMAINING PRESENT PREVIOUSLY EXTRA AFTER PAID FOR MENDMENT 23 Minus Total Minus = Independent FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM

ADDI-ADDI-TIONAL RATE TIONAL RATE FEE FEE X\$18= X\$ 9= OR X80= X40= OR +135= +270= OA TOTAL TOTA OR ADDIT. FEE ADDIT. FEE

[&]quot; If the entry in column 1 is less than the entry in column 2, write "0" in column 3.

" If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20."

[&]quot;If the Tilghest Number Previously Paid For IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3." The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (Total or Independent) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in column 1.

United States Patent and Trademark Office
- Sales Receipt -

02/08/2006 KHARLING 00000001 501101 09832553

01 FC:2253 510.00 DA

CERTIFICATE OF TRA	SIMILE (37 CFR 1.8)	Docket No. HLB-001CV						
Applicant(s): Richard A. Hol		<u> </u>	HIB-VOIC V					
Application No. 09/832,553	Filing Date 04/11/2001	Examiner Hau Nguyen						
Invention: METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR CALIBRATING A COLOR DISPLAY								
TOTAL = 2 pages								
I hereby certify that this PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a)								
(Identify type of correspondence)								
is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Fax. No. 571-273-7787								
on FEBRUARY 7, 2006								
(Date)	<u> </u>							
		Tammy S. M	Toynih:	in				
(Typed or Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate)								
				•				
	Note: Each paper must b	ave its own certificate of mailing.						
		·						
	·							
·								

PETITION FO	R EXTENSION (Larg	Docket No. HLB-001CV							
In Re Application Of: Richard A. Holub									
Application No.	Filing Date 04/11/2001	Examiner Hau Nguyen	Customer No. 024,902	Group Art Unit 2676	Confirmation No. 2004				
Invention: METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR CALIBRATING A COLOR DISPLAY									
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS: This is a request under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) to extend the period for filing a response to the Office Action of 08/19/2005 above-identified application. Date The requested extension is as follows (check time period desired): One month Two months Three months Four months									
from:	11/19/2005 Date	until:		19/20 <u>06</u> Date	· 				
The fee for the extension of time is \$1,020 and is to be paid as follows: ☐ A check in the amount of the fee is enclosed. ☐ The Director is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 50-1101 ☐ If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor and charge any additional fees which may be required to Deposit Account No. 50-1101 ☐ Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached. WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.									
Kenneth J. LuKack Attorney for Appli Registration No. 38 South Winton Cou 3136 Winton Road Rochester, New You Telephone: 585-42 Facsimile: 585-42	icant 8,539 ort I South, Suite 301 ork 14623 24–2670		I hereby cert deposited wit sufficient posts addressed to "	th the United State age as first class Commissioner for P 22313-1450" [37 CF	prespondence is being es Postal Service with a mall in an envelope etents, P.O. Box 1450, FR 1.8(a)] on				
K.Π./tsm				ure of Person Mailing ted Name of Person M	Correspondence Applications Correspondence				

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No:

09/832,553

Confirmation No. 2004

Filed:

April 11, 2001

Applicant:

Holub

Title:

METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR CALIBRATING A COLOR

DISPLAY

Examiner:

Hau Nguyen

Art Unit: 2676

Attorney Docket:

HLB-001€V

MAIL STOP AF

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Dear Sir:

This is a summary of the Examiner Interview held at the U.S. Patent Office and telephonic communications thereafter, as requested by Examiner Nguyen by telephone on February 7, 2006 with the undersigned Applicant's Attorney. Applicant at the Examiner Interview held at the U.S. Patent Office on November 16, 2005 presented arguments with respect to the patentability of claims over U.S. Patent No. 6,611,249 (Evanicky et al.), the restriction requirement, and the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection of Claim 36. Examiner Blackman agreed to enter an Examiner's Amendment to amend the application as follows: add "physical" before each occurrence of "reference" in Claims 19, 23, and 39; remove language "on a surface" and "on said surface" of Claim 19, remove language "on a surface" and "quantity or offset providing the color of said display" from Claim 39; cancel Claims 43-46 without prejudice to a possible Divisional Application; and to show citations noted by Applicant in incorporated by reference parent applications for subject matter of Claim 36. Examiner Blackman stated at the Interview that the Examiner's Amendment would overcome the rejection upon Evanicky et al., and rejoin Claims 39-42 with Claims 19-26 and 32-38. An Eighth Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement was provided at the Examiner Interview to Examiner Blackman, who stated such would be entered.

Certificate of Facsimile Transmission

I certify that this document is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax # (571) 273-7787) on February 7, 2006.

Signature of Person Transmitting Correspondence

Kenneth J. LuKacher
Typed or Printed Name of Person Transmitting Correspondence

- 2 -

About two weeks after not receiving the Examiner's Amendment, Applicant's Attorney was unable to contact Examiner Blackman by telephone, as his phone number was no longer assigned. A call through the USPTO Helpline discovered that Examiner Blackman left the U.S. Patent Office. A phone message was then left with Matthew Bella, Supervisor Examiner to Examiner Blackman, to request assistance with the matter. On December 8, 2005, Examiner Bella called Applicant's Attorney stating that he will address the status of the Application in view of Examiner Blackman's departure from the U.S. Patent Office. In a follow-up call with Examiner Bella on December 12, 2005, Applicant's Attorney discussed what Examiner Blackman agreed upon in the Examiner's Amendment, as set forth in the first paragraph herein, and patentability of claims over Evanicky et al., and Examiner Bella stated that entry of the Examiner's Amendment and further search would be handled by a new Examiner. As requested by Examiner Bella, Applicant's Attorney on December 12, 2005 provided by facsimile to Examiner Bella a copy of the Eighth Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement along with copies of referenced items.

On December 15, 2005, Examiner Hau Nguyen called Applicant's Attorney, to inform him that he was the new assigned Examiner and that the rejections based on Evanicky et al. were now withdrawn, and to request an explanation of patentability of the claimed invention over U.S. Patent No. 5,739,809 (McLaughlin et al.). Applicant's Attorney in separate phone calls to Examiner Nguyen and Examiner Bella explained that step (d) of Claim 19 was absent in McLaughlin et al.

On December 21, 2005, Examiner Bella in a telephone call with Applicant's Attorney provided a status update in which no prior art to the present Application was yet found by Examiner Nguyen which in combination with McLaughlin et al. would find Claim 19 unpatentable.

Accordingly, a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested. If required for entry of this communication, Applicant hereby petitions under 37 CFR 1.136(a) for a three-month extension of time and authorizes the \$1,020.00 petition fee to be charged to deposit account no. 50-1101.

Dated: February 7, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Kenneth J. LuKacher Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 38,539

3136 Winton Road South, Suite 301 Rochester, New York 14623

Telephone: (585) 424-2670 Facsimile: (585) 424-6196